

CITY OF SOLANA BEACH

SOLANA BEACH CITY COUNCIL, SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY,
PUBLIC FINANCING AUTHORITY, & HOUSING AUTHORITY



MINUTES

Joint REGULAR Meeting

Wednesday * May 25, 2016 * 6:00 P. M.

City Hall / Council Chambers, 635 S. Highway 101, Solana Beach, California

- City Council meetings are video recorded and archived as a permanent record. The [video recording](#) captures the complete proceedings of the meeting and is available for viewing on the City's website.
- Posted Reports & Supplemental Docs contain records up to the cut off time prior to meetings for processing new submittals. Complete records containing meeting handouts, PowerPoints, etc. can be obtained through a [Records Request](#).

CITY COUNCILMEMBERS

David A. Zito, Mayor

Peter Zahn, Deputy Mayor

Mike Nichols, Councilmember

Ginger Marshall, Councilmember

Lesa Heebner, Councilmember

Gregory Wade
City Manager

Johanna Canlas
City Attorney

Angela Ivey
City Clerk

CALL TO ORDER AND ROLL CALL:

Mayor Zito called the meeting to order at 9:14 p.m.

Councilmembers

Present: David A. Zito, Peter Zahn, Mike Nichols, Ginger Marshall, Lesa Heebner

Absent: None

Also Present: Greg Wade, City Manager
Johanna Canlas, City Attorney
Angela Ivey, City Clerk,
Mo Sammak, City Engineer/Public Works Dir.
Marie Berkuti, Finance Manager
Bill Chopyk, Community Development Dir.
Danny King, Assistant City Manager

CLOSED SESSION REPORT:

Johanna Canlas, City Attorney, stated that there was no reportable action.

FLAG SALUTE:

APPROVAL OF AGENDA:

Motion: Moved by Councilmember Heebner and second by Deputy Mayor Zahn. 5/0
Motion carried unanimously.

PRESENTATIONS:

Ceremonial items that do not contain in-depth discussion and no action/direction.

1. 22nd District Agricultural Association (DAA)

Russ Penniman, President of the DAA Board, said that he had been on the Board for 13 years, that they were addressing some issues on Via de la Valle regarding the shrubs and fencing and no barbed wire.

Katie Mueller, Deputy General Manager of the San Diego County Fair, presented a PowerPoint (on file) highlighting the upcoming fair with an Alive in Wonderland theme.

Mr. Penniman continued regarding the races and KABBOO event, a fall meet they added for about a month, challenges with horse racing which had gone backward the last few years, that the Breeders Cup was coming which was the Super Bowl of horse racing and brought international participants. He said that they did approve a contract with KABBOO and addressed concerns about traffic, parking and noise, they had three monitors on the property and collecting data in order to make actionable, and wanted to tell cities what they were doing, that KABBOO had been cooperative and focused on sound control, that they were raising consequences for violations of the sound regulations with stiffer fines, and that they were looking at alternative parking options without the use of the east parking lot. He continued, stating that the Via de la Valle project had a fence design for a 6 ft. to 8 ft. chain fence with green lattice intertwined with added landscaping with the largest plants and best screening possible, that the Master Plan approved before 2008 when economics were good contained a hotel had been removed from the project, that they needed to look at how to fund the project, that the replacement of three buildings would cost about 100 million dollar and would go out for bonds to fund it, and that the off-track betting facility was being underutilized.

Council and Mr. Penniman discussed appreciation for communication, that special designated areas for Uber should assist in traffic flow and that they were negotiated with them for the fair and races, that buses and shuttles were heavily used, that the property was designated as an emergency evacuation center for the area which presented an interesting obligation, that in addition to the fence modification that perhaps some areas exposing major equipment could be sheltered for appearances, that the satellite parking was underutilized and needed to be included along with making KAABOO 1st class event bringing people in along with the Breeders Cup had used bus services to bring people in and out, that signs had been seen on the grounds that said No Uber and that a marked off area for cycling and scooters could be helpful.

ORAL COMMUNICATIONS: None

This portion of the agenda provides an opportunity for members of the public to address the City Council on items relating to City business and not appearing on today's agenda by submitting a speaker slip (located on the back table) to the City Clerk. Comments relating to items on this evening's agenda are taken at the time the items are heard. Pursuant to the Brown Act, no action shall be taken by the City Council on public comment items. Council may refer items to the City Manager for placement on a future agenda. The maximum time allotted for each presentation is THREE MINUTES (SBMC 2.04.190). Please be aware of the timer light on the Council Dais.

COUNCIL COMMUNITY ANNOUNCEMENTS / COMMENTARY:

An opportunity for City Council to make brief announcements or report on their activities. These items are not agendaized for official City business with no action or substantive discussion.

A. CONSENT CALENDAR: (Action Items) (A.1. - A.9.)

Items listed on the Consent Calendar are to be acted in a single action of the City Council unless pulled for discussion. Any member of the public may address the City Council on an item of concern by submitting to the City Clerk a speaker slip (located on the back table) before the Consent Calendar is addressed. Those items removed from the Consent Calendar by a member of the Council will be trailed to the end of the agenda, while Consent Calendar items removed by the public will be discussed immediately after approval of the Consent Calendar.

A.1. Minutes of the City Council.

Recommendation: That the City Council

1. Approve the Minutes of the City Council Meetings held June 24, July 8, August 25, September 9, September 23, October 14, October 25, November 4, 2015.

Approved Minutes http://www.ci.solana-beach.ca.us/index.asp?SEC=F0F1200D-21C6-4A88-8AE1-0BC07C1A81A7&Type=B_BASIC

Motion: Moved by Councilmember Nichols and second by Councilmember Heebner. 5/0
Motion carried unanimously.

A.2. Register Of Demands. (File 0300-30)

Recommendation: That the City Council

1. Ratify the list of demands for April 23 – May 6, 2016.

Motion: Moved by Councilmember Nichols and second by Councilmember Heebner. 5/0
Motion carried unanimously.

A.3. General Fund Adopted Budget for Fiscal Year 2015-2016 Changes. (File 0330-30)

Recommendation: That the City Council

1. Receive the report listing changes made to the Fiscal Year 2015-2016 General Fund Adopted Budget.

Motion: Moved by Councilmember Nichols and second by Councilmember Heebner. 5/0
Motion carried unanimously.

A.4. 2016 Street Maintenance and Repair List. (File 0820-35)

Recommendation: That the City Council

1. Adopt **Resolution 2016-074:**
 - a. Approving the list of streets scheduled for maintenance and repairs as part of the 2016 Street Maintenance and Repairs Project.
 - b. Authorizing the City Engineer to advertise for construction bids for the 2016 Street Maintenance and Repairs Project.

Motion: Moved by Councilmember Nichols and second by Councilmember Heebner. 5/0
Motion carried unanimously.

A.5. Municipal Improvement Districts Benefit (MID) Fees. (File 0495-20)

Recommendation: That the City Council

1. Approve **Resolution 2016-066**, setting the Benefit Charges for MID No. 9C, Santa Fe Hills at \$232.10 per unit for FY 2016-17.
2. Approve **Resolution 2016-067**, setting the Benefit Charges for MID No. 9E, Isla Verde at \$68.74 per unit for FY 2016-17.
3. Approve **Resolution 2016-068**, setting the Benefit Charges for MID No. 9H, San Elijo Hills # 2 at \$289.58 per unit for FY 2016-17.
4. Approve **Resolution 2016-069**, setting the Benefit Charges for MID No. 33, Highway 101/Railroad Right-of-Way at \$3.12 per unit for FY 2016-17.

Motion: Moved by Councilmember Nichols and second by Councilmember Heebner. 5/0
Motion carried unanimously.

A.6. Fire Benefit Fee. (File 0390-23)

Recommendation: That the City Council

1. Adopt **Resolution 2016-070**:
 - a. Setting the FY 2016-17 Fire Benefit Fee at \$10.00 per unit, and
 - b. Approving the Fee for levying on the tax roll.

Motion: Moved by Councilmember Nichols and second by Councilmember Heebner. 5/0
Motion carried unanimously.

A.7. Maintenance Contract for Streetlight Maintenance and Repairs. (File 0820-35)

Recommendation: That the City Council

1. Adopt **Resolution 2016-059**:
 - a. Authorizing the City Manager to execute an agreement with Siemens for FY 2016/17 for streetlight maintenance and repairs services, at an amount not to exceed \$31,700.
 - b. Authorizing the City Manager to extend the agreement for four additional one year terms, at the City's option, at an amount not to exceed the budgeted amount for each year.

Motion: Moved by Councilmember Nichols and second by Councilmember Heebner. 5/0
Motion carried unanimously.

A.8. San Andres Median Improvement Project. (File 0820-40)
Recommendation: That the City Council

1. Adopt **Resolution 2016-071**:
 - a. Appropriating \$32,342 to the San Andres Median project in the TransNet Extension fund.
 - b. Authorizing the City Treasurer to amend the FY 2015-16 Adopted Budget accordingly.

A.9. CalRecycle City/County Payment Program. (File 1030-50)
Recommendation: That the City Council

1. Adopt **Resolution 2016-077** authorizing Staff to continue submitting City/County Payment Program funding requests on an annual basis to assist the City's recycling and litter reduction efforts.

Motion: Moved by Councilmember Nichols and second by Councilmember Heebner. 5/0
Motion carried unanimously.

B. PUBLIC HEARINGS: (B.1. – B.2.)

This portion of the agenda provides citizens an opportunity to express their views on a specific issue as required by law after proper noticing by submitting a speaker slip (located on the back table) to the City Clerk. After considering all of the evidence, including written materials and oral testimony, the City Council must make a decision supported by findings and the findings must be supported by substantial evidence in the record. An applicant or designees for a private development/business project, for which the public hearing is being held, is allotted a total of fifteen minutes to speak, as per SBMC 2.04.210. A portion of the fifteen minutes may be saved to respond to those who speak in opposition. All other speakers have three minutes each. Please be aware of the timer light on the Council Dais.

B.1. Public Hearing: Five-Year Regional Transportation Improvement Program (RTIP). (File 0150-55)

Recommendation: That the City Council

1. Conduct the Public Hearing: Open the public hearing, Report Council disclosures, Receive public testimony, and Close the public hearing.
2. Adopt **Resolution 2016-065**, approving the *TransNet* Local Street Improvement Program list of projects for Fiscal Years 2016 through 2021.

Mayor Zito opened the public hearing.

Motion: Moved by Councilmember Heebner and second by Deputy Mayor Zahn to close the public hearing. Approved 5/0. **Motion carried unanimously.**

Motion: Moved by Councilmember Heebner and second by Councilmember Nichols. Approved 5/0. **Motion carried unanimously.**

C. STAFF REPORTS: (C.1. - C.3.)

Submit speaker slips to the City Clerk.

C.1. Del Mar Fairground Economic Impact Study. (File 0150-85)
Recommendation: That the City Council

1. Discuss the Report and provide comments, if necessary, to the consultants.

Ashleigh Kanat, Economic and Planning Systems consultants, presented a PowerPoint (on file)

Andrew Kaplan, Economic & Planning Systems, continued the PowerPoint.

Council, Consultants, and Staff discussed whether DAA sheriff security responded to Solana Beach when it was busy with another public safety call, some skepticism about the sales tax stated without more insight, that a process of intercept surveys from various events involved interviews of over 1,000 people, that those interviewed provided estimates of where they spent money and how much, that they applied that to the emissions to get estimates, that they did not ask the name of the restaurants being visited but the approximate location, and that the offset in revenues were fairly small, the majority of revenues were usually spent at the event.

Discussion continued regarding what streets were reviewed and that Lomas Santa Fe or Highway 101 in Solana Beach was not included even though they were highly used during large events, whether the correct cost of street maintenance was included as an impact, that no one segment defined the analysis, that traffic counts were provided by the City and those verified were multiplied by the annual street revision, that budget impact costs were very limited, whether the impacts included pot hole repair, street sweeping, and a portion of the City's annual pavement repair program, that the traffic study used was a past study of events and not specifically performed for this study, that some of the budget funds reported in the study for Solana Beach were inconsistent with the City's budget, the consultants used a formulaic based on % of revenue distributed to cities, DAA, and San Dieguito river valley, that many in the community stayed away from the area and visitors avoided the area during events, the used qualitative impressions were not always shared clearly or fully, that the interviews on the fairgrounds determined who was staying in local hotels for consideration of transient occupancy tax (TOT) impact, and that Del mar and Solana Beach had different ways to determine TOT so these comparisons were not comparable.

Public Speakers

Jim Bedit said that he was a citizen of Del Mar, that this study would be presented at the next Del Mar City Council meeting, that their finance committee reviewed and report and that their comments would be integrated into the presentation at the meeting, that their finance department felt that the report a folly and made no sense, that the logic used had a lot of errors in it, the TOT had many errors, that it was way off on the cost side for sheriff and other details, that the report only looked at the Sheriff used at the fairgrounds and not the responses from resources coming from the City, and that they were very disappointed in the report.

Barry Entous said he was from Del Mar and served on a subcommittee that evaluated the report, that they determined that TOT numbers were not correct and overstated, and that the issue of fire/sheriff use of incidents, and that Solana Beach and Del Mar contract with the County Sheriff and Fire dispatch to the extent that there was no incident reports affected the City's resources nor the costs, and that the analysis severely rejected these numbers.

Council discussed whether the consultants would recognize and comment on the data in the report that was not based on complete data available, the lack of survey of the business community even though the Solana Beach Chamber of Commerce provided a list of businesses to survey, that Solana Beach appreciated the fairgrounds and liked having them nearby but that impacts on the City were not accurately reflected which has been discussed with Board members for a long time, that part of the settlement agreement was to do this study but that the details in it had doubts, that there were equally incorrect information for both cities, the overall issue was the disparity between the two cities, that a case could be made for Solana Beach being impacted even more than Del Mar at times, that local businesses had stated over years that there was some loss of revenue due to people avoiding restaurants and shopping during the fair, and that it should be acknowledged that Solana Beach was spending more than it was receiving in benefit.

Discussion continued regarding the fact the Solana Beach seemed to receive more impact because of its proximity to the fairgrounds in wear and tear on roads, more crimes of opportunity due to the increased population traveling through the City, that some important issues were not tasked in the study, that without quantifiable numbers on public safety the report was skewed, public safety coverage between the fairgrounds and the two cities, and that this was a draft final report for review and feedback, that Solana Beach Budget & Finance Commission had not yet reviewed it, that the added traffic issues in the area were not included as any economic impact to residents, and that Council had hoped for a study that could better reflect the true impacts to Solana Beach.

Mayor Zito recessed the meeting at 7:35 p.m. for a break and reconvened at 7:44 p.m.

B.2. Public Hearing: 426 N. Rios Avenue Request for Development Review Permit (DRP) and Structure Development Permit (SDP), Applicant: Magnus Pfahl, Case # 17-15-46. (File 0600-40)

The proposed project meets the minimum objective requirements under the SBMC, is consistent with the General Plan and may be found, as conditioned, to meet the discretionary findings required as discussed in this report to approve a DRP. Therefore, Staff recommends that the City Council:

1. Conduct the Public Hearing: Open the Public Hearing, Report Council Disclosures, Receive Public Testimony, Close the Public Hearing.
2. Find the project exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act pursuant to Section 15301 of the State CEQA Guidelines; and
3. If the City Council makes the requisite findings and approves the project, adopt **Resolution 2016-041** conditionally approving a DRP and an administrative SDP to

construct a 259 square-foot, first-floor addition and a 1,120 square-foot, second-floor addition to an existing 1,408 square-foot, single-story, single-family residence with a 563 square-foot, attached, two-car garage and to bring a 327 square-foot, unpermitted, detached accessory structure into conformance with applicable regulations on a property located at 426 North Rios Avenue.

Greg Wade, City Manager, introduced the item

Katie Benson, Assistant Planner, presented a PowerPoint (on file).

Applicant

Magnus Pfahl, applicant, said that her daughter and her husband would live in the house and wanted to improve in.

Council disclosures.

Motion: Moved by Deputy Mayor Zahn and second by Councilmember Heebner to close the public hearing. Approved 5/0. **Motion carried unanimously.**

Motion: Moved by Councilmember Heebner and second by Councilmember Marshall. Approved 5/0. **Motion carried unanimously.**

C.2. Stevens-Valley Avenues Complete Street Design. (File 0820-00)

Recommendation: That the City Council

1. Adopt **Resolution 2016-055** approving the Stevens-Valley Avenues corridor improvement plans and authorizing the City Engineer to advertise for construction bids.

Greg Wade, City Manager, introduced the item.

Mo Sammak, Public Works/Engineering Dir., presented a PowerPoint (on file).

Council and Staff discussed the Lomas Santa Intersection stating that he felt that there was a lot going on in the area and that he was worried about safety. He addressed questions relating to the design of the lanes, dropping a lane on the other side of the intersection, cross walk color and design, community proposed mid-blocks, landscaping relating to street trees and the proposed design of the new bus stop at La Colonia.

Mayor Zahn asked about the end date of the major construction project at Earl Warren School and how it would line-up with the repaving of the street.

Mo Sammak, Public Works/Engineering Director, stated that the City would not pave until the school finished their construction project and that the City's improvements would probably take 4-6 months.

Public Speakers

Douglas Alden said he was pleased to see this project come to fruition adding bike lanes over 20 year later, this corridor had been a top priority because it was an area heavily used, repurposing the use was going to reflect Solana Beach, and that he asked if SANDAG provide more active funding since it was spread between 28 jurisdictions.

Louisa Trianis and Nico Giolitto said that they wanted to voice their support for this and needed a cross walk for strollers and kids going to school and for wheelchairs, that walking along the street was unpleasant with vegetation and cracks in the street and sidewalks, and that near Earl Warren had a small area of sidewalk of dirt and road and have it all repaved for sidewalk.

Councilmember Heebner thanks to Staff and Bike/Walk Solana beach for your work, also Councilmember Nichols expertise and input.

Motion: Moved by Councilmember Heebner and second by Councilmember Nichols. Approved 5/0. **Motion carried unanimously.**

C.3. Possible Ordinance Regulating Retail Pet Sales. (File 0200-20)
Recommendation: That the City Council

1. Discuss and consider whether to direct Staff to prepare an ordinance that would prohibit the sale of animals from commercial breeding establishments.

Greg Wade, City Manager, introduced the item.

Bill Chopyk, Community Development Dir., presented a PowerPoint (on file) outlining other cities' ordinances and various options for consideration.

Public Speakers

Laurie Michales said she was an Encinitas resident and attended because this the issue was not only a City one but a humane one, that Encinitas unanimously passed a ban for retail business to stop using puppy mills, and that it was also passed in Vista, San Marcos, Chula Vista and San Diego. She said that this ordinance did not impact private, local breeders that sell animals from their homes, and only applied to retail animal stores.

Holly Jill St. John said that she was on the Board of Directors with 'Save the Dalmatians' and 'Canine and Rescue,' that she was a navy veteran and that she and her husband had moved a lot over time and could attest that pet stores sold pets without having any inside the stores, that she had two examples of dogs from pet stores that had serious illnesses and eventually ended up in a rescue with permanent health conditions. She quoted Ghandi, saying that "societies should be judged by how they treat their animals."

Stephen MacKinnon stated that he was the Chief of Humane Law Enforcement with the San Diego Humane Society, and that Jennifer Bryler was Vice President and Director of their Escondido campus, that San Diego County was one of the pet friendliest areas in the nation, that he took pride in protecting animals from cruelty and neglect and provided citizens with the support they needed with their pets, but that enforcement alone could not face all of these issues. He said that the intent of this ordinance was not to punish responsible breeders but to stop sourcing animals through puppy mills, that puppy mills were nothing short of commercially sanctioned animal cruelty farms, that most consumers purchasing from pet stores were unaware of the origin of the pets, that it was their right to educate the owners and the public regarding these exploited practices, and encouraged Council to keep in touch with the San Diego Humane Society to assist with any actions and support of the ordinance.

Rebekah Snyder said that she was currently in the military for the past 15 years, and it was very common for military members to purchase animals from pet stores using the stores in house financing, that she spoke to a couple who purchased a dog for \$2300 at a 35% annual interest rate only to have to have the dog euthanized from being so sick, that it was a common story for many pet stores, that animals being sold at different pet stores ranged from \$500-\$4000 with interest in the 20-30 percentile. She said that stores allowed to sell dogs from puppy mills were an abomination since the world was already overpopulated with them and that she supported Council passing the ordinance.

Andrea Cunningham stated that she was by how thrilled that Council was taking a proactive stance regarding this topic, that she lived in Escondido, had 40 years of experience as a breeder and trainer, and 20 years as a test observer with therapy dogs. She said that she had never encountered a reputable pet breeder who was willing to sell to any pet store, that good responsible breeders did not breed specifically for profit and that their goal was to better the breed by understanding the genetic makeup of their breed. She stated that puppy mills sacrificed quality in favor of quantity with no consideration to anything else, that local stores that sold from puppy mills had them transferred from several states in the mid-west while there were many local sources and rescue animals available, that some stores promoted fake service dog credentials to get around many land lords' "no pets allowed" policies, and that she encouraged Council to take appropriate action.

Vicki Cypherd thanked Council for the action, and said that she recommended careful wording so that there were no loop-holes and keeping it simple. She said that she did not want to see any animal sales in Solana Beach, she was concerned about some exemptions she read in the staff report, that she recommended no exemptions for hobby breeders since it left room for loop-holes, no exemptions for the sales of cats, dogs or rabbits, including those provides with a certificate of source. She said no certificates would be needed if no animal were sold and that a lot of certificates could be falsified, and that she was happy that Council was taking action.

Dale Bartlett said that he was the Public Policy Director in the Puppy Mills campaign at the Humane Society of the United States and that he was here to support the passage of this ordinance. He stated that puppy mills were bad and that many pet stores purchased their animals from puppy mills, that he had to rescue animals from puppy mills with severe

health implications due to bad care. That the USDA inspected these farms but allowed them to be kept in tight cages with only 6 inches of space from the animal's nose, however the USDA admitted to not enforcing these rules. He said they the USDA had encouraged employees not to site breeders in a 2010 audit, but to teach them how to avoid getting violations, that pets purchased at pet stores were not always the healthiest or safest since they were taken from mothers too soon and shipped around the country. He stated that to date 47 ordinances had been enacted in the U.S. and have been challenged in federal court 6 times which were all upheld, that he recommended the San Marcos ordinance wording, And that pet owners check out their breeders and the environment that a potential pet was being raised.

Council discussed a six month transition until the regulation was effective, that the San Marcos Ordinance was an ideal model, whether to exempt for hobby, whether to exempt animals born at homes/onsite and sold to friends not for profit, that the main issue would be the selling of these animals at pet stores from sources that were mills turning out animals regularly, that the current municipal code's zoning section limited dogs and cats to four each, whether to allow sells with a certificate of source even though it did not mention where the pet was acquired from, and to allow pet stores to hold events for animals from a rescue organization.

Motion: Moved by Councilmember Heebner and second by Councilmember Marshall. Approved 5/0 to direct Staff to return with an Ordinance. **Motion carried unanimously.**

COMPENSATION & REIMBURSEMENT DISCLOSURE: None

GC: Article 2.3. Compensation: 53232.3. (a) Reimbursable expenses shall include, but not be limited to, meals, lodging, and travel. 53232.3 (d) Members of a legislative body shall provide brief reports on meetings attended at the expense of the local agency at the next regular meeting of the legislative body.

COUNCIL COMMITTEE REPORTS:

Councilmembers reported Committee activities.

Regional Committees: (outside agencies, appointed by this Council)

- a. City Selection Committee (meets twice a year) – Nichols, Zahn (alternate).
- b. County Service Area 17 – Zahn, Marshall (alternate).
- c. Escondido Creek Watershed Authority – Marshall/Staff (no alternate).
- d. League of Ca. Cities' San Diego County Executive Committee – Nichols, Zahn (alternate) and any subcommittees.
- e. League of Ca. Cities' Local Legislative Committee – Nichols, Zahn (alternate).
- f. League of Ca. Cities' Coastal Cities Issues Group (CCIG) – Nichols, Heebner (alternate).
- g. North County Dispatch JPA – Zahn, Nichols (alternate).
- h. North County Transit District – Nichols, Heebner (1st alternate)
- i. Regional Solid Waste Association (RSWA) – Nichols, Zahn (alternate).
- j. SANDAG – Heebner (Primary), Nichols (1st alternate), Zito (2nd alternate) and any subcommittees.
- k. SANDAG Shoreline Preservation Committee – Nichols, Heebner (alternate).

- l. San Dieguito River Valley JPA – Zito, Zahn (alternate).
- m. San Elijo JPA – Marshall, Zito (both primary members) (no alternates).
- n. 22nd Agricultural District Association Community Relations Committee – Heebner, Nichols.

Standing Committees: (All Primary Members) (Permanent Committees)

- a. Business Liaison Committee – Zito, Zahn.
- b. Highway 101 / Cedros Ave. Development Committee – Heebner, Nichols.
- c. I-5 Construction Committee – Heebner, Zito.
- d. Parks and Recreation Committee – Heebner, Nichols.
- e. Public Arts Committee – Nichols, Zito.
- f. School Relations Committee – Marshall, Zahn.

ADJOURN:

Mayor Zito adjourned the meeting at 9:14 p.m.

Angela Ivey, City Clerk

Approved: June 22, 2016